

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILI  | NG DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/792,045                        | 03/   | /03/2004   | Brian E. Probst      | 03190                   | 4731             |
| 75                                | 90    | 07/20/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Thomas R. Sha                     | affer |            | SEMBER, THOMAS M     |                         |                  |
| 5 East Third Street<br>PO Box 509 |       |            |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Coudersport, PA 16915             |       |            |                      | 2875                    |                  |
|                                   |       |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/20/2009 | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | ``   |  |                       |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |                       |
|  | 10/792,045   | PROBST ET AL.  |                       |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |                       |
|  | Thomas M. Sember   | 2875   |                       |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap  | pears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence ad  | dress                 |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the provision of the provision of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of thin<br>I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON<br>te, cause the application to become Al | eply be timely filed<br>ty (30) days will be considered timely<br>ITHS from the mailing date of this of<br>BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ,, ·<br>ommunication. |
| Status   |  |  |                       |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01.   | <u>June 2004</u> .   |  |                       |
| ,  | is action is non-final.  |  |                       |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows   | •  |  | merits is             |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.□  | ), 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                       |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |                       |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application   | n.   |  |                       |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   | awn from consideration.  |  |                       |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |                       |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 17-22</u> is/are rejected.  |  |  | ر . ـ ـ               |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are objected to.  |  |  | -                     |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | or election requirement.   |  |                       |
| Application Papers   |  |  |                       |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin   |  |  |                       |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac  |  |  |                       |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |  | •  |                       |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre   |  |  |                       |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | Examiner. Note the attache   | a Onice Action of form P   | 0-152.                |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |                       |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer  |  | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                       |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documer  |  |  |                       |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri   |  | received in this National  | Stage                 |
| application from the International Bure  |  | ranaivad   |                       |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis  | st of the certified copies not   | received.  |                       |
| ·  | ·  |  |                       |
| Attachment/c)  |  |  |                       |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview   | Summary (PTO-413)  |                       |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No   | (s)/Mail Date  | 2.452)                |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/01/04.  | 8) 5)  | Informal Patent Application (PT)   | J-132)                |
| I.S. Detect and Tendemody Office   |  |  |                       |

Application/Control Number: 10/792,045 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-2, 8, 10-12 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maglica '772. Maglica '772 discloses a flashlight head 23 having a light emitting object 60 positioned therein; an electrically conducting battery chamber adapted to be removably attached to said flashlight head, said battery chamber 21 adapted to receive and make an electrical connection with at least one cell; and a wave spring 39 positioned between said electrically conducting battery chamber and said flashlight head 23 whereby said wave spring is at least partially compressed when said battery chamber is attached to said flashlight head and an electrical connection is made between said at least one cell positioned in said battery chamber and said light emitting object through said wave spring. Regarding claim 2, flashlight head further comprises a reflector 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,045 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maglica '772 in view of Witte. Maglica '772 discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching of the light source being used. Witte teaches that various light emitters such as incandescent lamps or white LEDs can be used with the flashlight. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an incandescent lamp or LED for the light emitter of Maglica '772 in order to provide an alternatively effective and efficient light emitter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maglica '772 in view of Huang. Maglica '772 discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching of the positive terminal spring. Huang teaches a positive coil spring for making

Application/Control Number: 10/792,045 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

electrical connection from light emitter and battery. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flashlight of Maglica '772 to include the positive coil spring in order to efficiently connect the light emitter to the battery.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maglica '772 in view of Maglica '265. Maglica '772 discloses the claimed invention except for specific teaching that the flashlight head or battery chamber is made of stainless steel or aluminum. Maglica '265 teaches a flashlight head and battery chamber made of stainless steel or aluminum. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flashlight of Maglica '772 so as to made of aluminum or stainless steel as taught by Maglica '265 in order to provide a long lasting durable flashlight.

8. Claims 13-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Galli discloses a flashlight similar to applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is 571-272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,045

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M Sember **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2875

Page 6